

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,791		10/18/2000	Keith Shoji Kiyohara	81045.1034	5768
22804	7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER	
THE HEC	KER LAV	V GROUP	SALAD, ABDU	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
1925 CENT		K EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2300	)			ARTONI	TAI ER NOMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90067	2157		

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/691,791	KIYOHARA, KEITH SHOJI				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Salad E Abdullahi	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	March 2002.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
, —	ion Papers	or oldsalen requirements					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the section is abjected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) ( a) (	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first Term 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  It is sentence of the specification of the certification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120  It is priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120	ation No ived in this National Stage  ved. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
2) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2157

## **Detailed Action**

 This application has been reviewed. Claims 1-54 are pending. The rejection cited stated below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sassin et al., U.S. Patent No.6,449,260.

As per claims 1, 7 and 13, Sassin et al., discloses a system comprising:

Generate a first message (customer generating electronic message)(see col. 7, line 50-65);

Transmit said first message to a first user (i.e. call distribution center)(see col. 7, lines 50-65);

Art Unit: 2157

Transmit said first message to a first user (i.e. call distribution center)(see col. 7, lines 50-65);

Obtain an inquiry from a second user (agent) for a duplicate (i.e. Copy) of said first message)(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

Regenerate said duplicate of said first message in response to said inquiry (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 2, 8, and 14, Sassin et al., discloses a system, wherein the first message is generated in response to a triggering event (customer service request event), (see col. 7, lines 50-65).

In considering claims 3, 9, and 15, Sassin et al., discloses a system, configured to regenerate said duplicate message further comprises:

Obtain template data associated with said first message (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

obtain general information associated with said first message(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

Combine said template data and said user information (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 4, 10, and 16, Sassin et al., discloses a system wherein said general information comprises user specific information (i.e. user identification), (see col. 7, lines 50-65).

In considering claims 5, 11, 17 and 23 Sassin et al., discloses a system wherein said general information comprises previously calculated information (previously filtered information), (see col. 7, lines 50-65).

In considering claims 6, 12, and 18, Sassin et al., discloses a system wherein said second user comprises an entity representative (i.e. agent), (see col. 7, lines 50-65).

As per claims 19, 31 and 43, Sassin et al., disclose a system for generating a message data comprising:

Obtaining a request for a previously transmitted electronic mail message from a user (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

obtaining template data associated with said previously transmitted electronic mail message (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

obtain user information about said user (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50); obtaining previously calculated information associated with said previously transmitted electronic mail message (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50);

Art Unit: 2157

recreate a new version of said previously transmitted electronic mail message by combining said user information, said previously calculated information, and said template data (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 20, 32 and 44, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said template varies depending upon what type of trigger event initiated said request(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 21, 33 and 45, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said templates comprises information related to a transaction with a user(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 22, and 34 and 46, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said previously transmitted message comprises a message personalized for said user(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 23, 35 and 47, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said new version of said previously transmitted message is sent to said user for display (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 24, 36 and 48, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said user comprises a customer service representative(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 25, 37 and 49, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said user comprises an end-user(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 26, 38 and 50, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said user information is obtained from a historical data file (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 27, 39 and 51, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said historical data file comprises information providing a reason for transmitting said previously transmitted message(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 28, 40 and 52, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said template data is separable from said historical data file(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 29, 41 and 53, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein the historical data file comprises transaction information(see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

In considering claims 30, 42 and 54, Sassin et al., disclose a system wherein said transaction information comprises data associated with a customer (see col. 7, line 50 to col. 8, line 50).

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's

disclosure.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 703-308-

8441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can

be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature

or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

As

11/23/2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100